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ABSTRACT

In order to address the health risks and climate impacts associated with pollution from cooking on biomass fires, researchers have focused on designing new cookstoves that improve cooking performance and reduce harmful emissions, specifically particulate matter (PM). One method for improving cooking performance and reducing emissions is using air injection to increase turbulence of unburned gases in the combustion zone. Although air injection reduces total PM mass emissions, the effect on PM size-distribution and number concentration has not been thoroughly investigated. Using two new wood-burning cookstove designs from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, this research explores the effect of air injection on cooking performance, PM and gaseous emissions, and PM size distribution and number concentration. Both cookstoves were created using the Berkeley-Darfur Stove as the base platform to isolate the effects of air injection. The thermal performance, gaseous emissions, PM mass emissions, and particle concentrations (ranging from 5 nm to 10 µm in diameter) of the cookstoves were measured during multiple high-power cooking tests. The results indicate that air injection improves cookstove performance and reduces total PM mass but increases total ultrafine (less than 100 nm in diameter) PM concentration over the course of high-power cooking.

INTRODUCTION

One of the world’s greatest environmental health risk factors is exposure to emissions from cooking with solid biomass fuels; approximately 4 million premature deaths per year are
attributed to this practice.\textsuperscript{1-3} Additionally, the widespread use of cooking with solid biomass fuel contributes to climate change through increased emissions of carbon dioxide and black carbon, particulates that strongly absorb solar radiation.\textsuperscript{4} Researchers have explored new environmental technologies for increasing efficiency in biomass cookstoves while decreasing harmful emissions, primarily focusing on particulate matter (PM).\textsuperscript{5-11} Previous research reveals that introducing turbulence (via air injection) into the gas-phase combustion zone can dramatically improve cooking performance and reduce the total mass of PM generated from biomass combustion.\textsuperscript{5,9,11} Injecting air into the gas-phase combustion zone to generate turbulence (here-on referred to as air injection) promotes better gaseous fuel-air mixing, leading to more complete combustion, and can increase residence time of soot in the flame, promoting oxidation of soot.\textsuperscript{12}

Although air injection can decrease total PM mass emitted, it is unclear if this technique reduces the number concentration of all PM emission sizes uniformly and may concurrently increase the number of ultrafine particles per mass of fuel burned, which may be more harmful to human health.\textsuperscript{6,13} If total number of ultrafine particles per meal shifts to emit more particles smaller than 50 nm in diameter, then the total deposition of these ultrafine particles is expected to increase in all three primary lung regions: nasal pharyngeal, bronchial, and alveolar. Several researchers\textsuperscript{14-18} show that particles smaller than 50 nm in diameter exhibit strong cytotoxicity in lung tissue including oxidative DNA damage and proinflammatory response. These effects are observed over a range of particle chemistries, but the most deleterious effects are typically observed with ultrafine particles of combustion origin. These studies show that cytotoxicity of particles on a per-mass basis is typically inversely related to the size of the particles, pointing to the increased toxicity of ultrafine aerosols.
A few researchers have measured ultrafine particle size distributions from improved stoves, but few of the tested stoves incorporate air injection.\textsuperscript{5,6} For example, Jetter et al.\textsuperscript{5} measured emission factors of ultrafine particles for multiple cookstoves, including four forced-draft cookstoves, and found that the forced-draft stoves produced less total particulate mass but increased total ultrafine particle emission factors per useful energy delivered to the pot. However, the size distribution of the particles was not measured. Just et al.\textsuperscript{6} measured ultrafine particle number concentrations and size distributions from a three-stone fire, a natural draft rocket stove, and a natural draft gasifier stove operating under “steady” conditions at a medium-power similar to a simmer. They also found that the improved stoves produced less total particulate mass, but increased quantities of smaller particles with diameters smaller than 30 nm. However, none of the stoves tested incorporated air injection and the testing conditions were not representative of an actual cooking event or a complete burn cycle.

In this paper, we investigate the impact of air injection on biomass cookstove performance and emissions using two new stoves designed by Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Both stove designs were created using the wood-burning Berkeley-Darfur Stove as the base platform in order to isolate the effects of air injection on performance and emissions. The thermal performance, gaseous emissions, PM mass and number concentration, and PM size-distribution (ranging from 5 nm to 10 μm in diameter) of the cookstoves were measured during multiple high-power tests. The high-power tests were conducted at a constant firepower and include both startup and steady-state emissions as room temperature water is brought to a boil (cold start phase of the Water Boiling Test 4.3.2\textsuperscript{19}). Performance and emission results from the air injection stoves are compared to the base platform, Berkeley-Darfur Stove (BDS), and a three-stone fire (TSF).
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Berkeley Air Injection Cookstove Designs. The air injection cookstoves were designed to investigate the scientific underpinnings for significantly reducing particulate matter (PM) emissions from front-loading, wood-burning cookstoves. In order to parametrically study the effects of air injection and identify key parameters that significantly reduce PM emissions, we used the Berkeley-Darfur Stove (BDS) as the base platform for all air injection cookstove designs in this study. The BDS was chosen as the base platform because it was developed by Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and has been fully tested and characterized by different researchers in various experimental conditions.\textsuperscript{5,7} This robust characterization data increases certainty when identifying the effects of air injection on cooking performance and emissions. Both air injection cookstoves were designed and constructed for laboratory testing. Final field designs will be more user-friendly and cost effective than the research designs described below.

The Berkeley Umbrella Stove (BUS), Figure 1, incorporates an umbrella-shaped air injection manifold into the BDS firebox with downward-facing jets that promote mixing and complete combustion. During operation, compressed air from a cylinder was regulated using a 2-stage regulator and then flowed through a rotameter (measuring volumetric flow rate) before passing through the central column into the umbrella-shaped manifold. For field use, a fan powered by the stove’s heat (e.g. thermoelectric generator) or by a rechargeable battery could supply air to the umbrella manifold, which was designed for operation with a small blower. Additional design specifications for the BUS can be found in the SI.

When designing the BUS, it was understood that the umbrella acts as an undesirable radiation shield between the fire and the pot, thereby somewhat reducing heat transfer efficiency.
However, we still expected an overall reduction in emissions per task due to the addition of preheated air injection.

**Figure 1.** The Berkeley Umbrella Stove. Front view (A) shows the central column that provides air to the umbrella manifold. Inside view (B) shows the 42 1/8-inch (3.175 mm) holes evenly distributed on the bottom surface that inject air into the gas-phase combustion zone in the firebox.

The Berkeley Shower Stove (BSS) incorporates a manifold that is located below the stove grate and employs up to eight interchangeable stainless-steel nozzles, or “shower-heads,” to inject air over the firebox wall into the combustion zone (see Figure 2). Before reaching the manifold and nozzles, air travels through coiled copper tubing located directly below the grate to preheat the air, as shown in Figure 2(A). The circular steel manifold also serves to transfer heat from the combustion process above to the injected air.

The BSS avoids the radiation shielding effect associated with the BUS and enables rapid, parametric identification of air injection geometries that improve thermal performance while significantly reducing emissions. The following parameters on the BSS can be quickly and easily modified for parametric investigation: (1) the total number of nozzles, (2) the orientation of nozzles, (3) the height of the nozzles above the grate, and (4) the air injection flow rate. Because the BSS was designed for rapid parametric studies, the manifold design was not optimized to operate with a blower and uses the same air supply system described for the BUS. However, the
design could be optimized with a less restrictive air injection system for use with a blower. Additional design specifications for the BSS can be found in the SI.

In this study, eight stainless steel nozzles were used for air injection. The six nozzles closest to the fuel magazine feed are oriented radially toward the center of the grate while the two nozzles at the rear of the firebox are angled toward each other to prevent hot gases and flames from exiting out the fuel feed toward the stove operator. All nozzle tips are angled approximately 45° downward from the horizontal plane of the grate. This optimal configuration was chosen using preliminary experimental results.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2.** The Berkeley Shower Stove. The top view (A) shows the nozzles protruding through the stove grate to inject air over the firebox into the gas-phase combustion zone. The air manifold (B) sits below the grate. Air is preheated through the copper tubing before entering the manifold and being distributed to the 8 nozzles.

**Experimental Setup.** All experiments were conducted in the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory cookstove research facility. A schematic of the cookstove research facility and equipment is shown in Figure 3. Pollutants from the stove were captured by an exhaust hood and transported outside the building through an exhaust duct system. Pollutants were sampled in the exhaust duct before exiting the building. The volumetric flow rate in the exhaust duct is 400
m³/hr (235 CFM), which represents 10 air exchanges per hour in a 40 m³ kitchen—within the observed range cited by the World Health Organization’s Indoor Air Quality Guidelines. The volumetric flow rate in the exhaust duct was determined using a real-time measurement of the pressure drop across a calibrated orifice, shown in Figure 3.

Carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO₂), and oxygen (O₂), volume concentrations were measured using a California Analytical Instruments (CAI) 600 Series nondispersive infrared absorption spectroscopy (NDIR) analyzer. Total PM₂.₅ mass was measured gravimetrically by sampling exhaust gas from the duct and passing it through an ultra-sharp cutoff PM₂.₅ cyclone to remove particles larger than 2.₅ µm (BGI instruments). A precision, 16.7 LPM critical orifice controls flow through the cyclone. Particles smaller than 2.₅ µm are deposited on a Teflon filter that is humidity conditioned and weighed on a calibrated microbalance both before and after each experiment. The difference in mass of the filter before and after testing yields the total PM₂.₅ mass emission per high-power test.

Particle number concentration and size distribution ranging from 5 nm to 10 µm were measured at 1 Hz sampling rate using a TSI 3330 Optical Particle Sizer (OPS) and a TSI 3091 Fast Mobility Particle Sizer (FMPS). The OPS requires the refractive index of measured particles to be set externally and was set to 1.57 based on previous research that measures the refractive index for Douglas fir as a primary fuel wood. Prior to reaching the instruments, the real-time aerosol sample, which leads to the FMPS and OPS, was diluted with a compressed cylinder of ultra zero air (O₂ 19.5% - 23.5%, water < 2 ppm, hydrocarbons < 0.1 ppm, CO₂ < 0.5 ppm, CO < 0.5 ppm) to increase measurement accuracy and prevent frequent maintenance of the instruments. The dilution ratio for the real-time aerosol sample line was determined using exhaust sample flow rates and by comparing measured CO₂ before and after dilution. Ambient
and diluted CO\textsubscript{2} volume concentrations were measured with a PP Systems SBA-5 NDIR gas analyzer.

At the beginning and end of each experiment day, all instruments were checked for calibration to ensure accuracy. Prior to each high-power test, stoves and pots were scrubbed clean to avoid accumulation of soot and tar that could impact heat transfer in successive replicate tests. Further details for the experimental setup and equipment are provided in the SI.

**Figure 3.** Schematic of the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory cookstove research testing facility.

**Experimental Procedure.** A total of 34 high-power tests were conducted: ten each on the TSF, the BDS, and the BUS, and four on the BSS. These multiple replicate tests were conducted to ensure adequately tight confidence intervals on the mean values of stove performance and emission metrics. Each high-power test follows procedures for the cold start phase described in the Water Boiling Test (WBT) 4.2.3,\textsuperscript{19} where 5 L of room temperature water is brought to boil. Since the manufactured stoves in this study are intended for use with a Darfuri-style pot, all tests...
were conducted using a 1.7 kg round-bottomed aluminum Darfuri pot,\textsuperscript{5} which is not specified by the WBT 4.2.3\textsuperscript{19} protocol.

The fire was started using untreated pine wood shavings and Douglas-fir kindling. The fire was then built up by adding Douglas-fir fuel-wood pieces to heat the water from ambient temperature to boiling (approximately 99°C for experiments presented in this paper). Both the kindling and the fuel-wood were untreated and harvested from a single Douglas-fir tree and stored in a dry location for at least 1 year prior to the experiments. The moisture content of the wood ranged from 7\% to 9\% on a wet basis.

All stoves were tested at a fuel feed rate that maintained a constant CO\textsubscript{2} emission rate and in turn a constant firepower. Maintaining a constant firepower allows for immediate performance and emissions comparisons between the stoves. Due to the unstable nature of the TSF and its low efficiency, maintaining a steady lower firepower that was optimal for the manufactured stoves (~2 kW) was extremely challenging and did not result in successfully boiling the water. Therefore, a higher firepower (~5 kW), and corresponding CO\textsubscript{2} concentration, were chosen for testing the TSF and the three engineered stoves to ensure a consistent firepower could be maintained over the duration of an individual test and that the test successfully boiled water. Using duct CO\textsubscript{2} concentration as an indication of firepower also ensured that each test was accurately replicated.

Upon completion of each test, the unburned wood and char were weighed. Gaseous and particle emissions data were collected for the duration of all stove tests (from ignition until the water reached boiling temperature). In order to minimize instrument errors and avoid accelerating factory maintenance schedules caused by extended exposure to high-concentration
PM, real-time particle emissions data using the ultrafine particle analyzers (the TSI FMPS and OPS) were measured only for six of the ten tests conducted for the TSF and the BDS.

Preliminary tests were conducted on the BUS and BSS to identify the best air injection supply rate for minimizing production of PM$_{2.5}$ mass emissions. The start time for air injection was chosen to ensure the fire was well established to reduce the risk of quenching the fire. Preliminary testing of the BUS indicated that air injection could be started 3 minutes after ignition at a supply rate of 56.6 LPM (2 CFM) at a manifold pressure of ~490 Pa (0.07 psi). Preliminary testing of the BSS indicated that air injection could be started 2 minutes after ignition at a supply rate of 42.5 LPM (1.5 CFM) at a manifold pressure of ~17.2 kPa (2.5 psi). Due to the thermal mass of the umbrella, more time was required to establish a stable fire in the BUS before air injection could be initiated. For both the BUS and the BSS, air injection, measured using an inline rotameter, was supplied at a constant flow rate for the remainder of the cold start test.

**Data Analysis.** High-power test performance metrics such as firepower, thermal efficiency, and CO and PM$_{2.5}$ mass emissions (both reported in g/MJ delivered to the pot) are calculated using the methods reported for the cold start phase of the Water Boiling Test 4.3.2. Additional performance and emissions calculations are provided in the SI. For all data, 95% confidence bounds are determined assuming a Student’s t-distribution using methods described by Wang et al. (2014).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Performance Metrics.** Performance metrics for the operation of each stove during the high-power test are presented in Table 1. Performance emission metrics for CO and PM$_{2.5}$ are reported in mass per useful energy delivered to the pot. The results show that the addition of air injection
significantly reduces PM$_{2.5}$ and CO mass emissions. Compared to the TSF, the BUS and the BSS reduce PM$_{2.5}$ mass emissions by 35% and 66%, respectively. However, the BDS produces similar PM$_{2.5}$ mass emissions to the TSF. In order to maintain the high (5.2 kW) firepower in the BDS, the firebox was packed with fuel-wood. Increasing the amount of fuel wood in the BDS’s firebox obstructs the natural draft of primary air through the fuel grate and the fuel feed door from entering the combustion zone. Blocking the primary air flow reduces mixing and results in a fuel-rich flame that is quenched against the cold pot or with ambient air, causing the nucleation and growth of particles with larger diameter and mass. Figure 4 further supports this theory, showing that the BDS generates more particles of larger diameter (between 500 and 10000 nm) than the TSF. Increasing the primary air to the BDS by operating at a lower firepower can achieve better PM$_{2.5}$ mass emissions results than the TSF (60 to 75% reduction), as shown by previous researchers.$^{5,7}$

The results also show that CO mass emissions per energy delivered to the pot from the BUS are comparable to the BDS, and both produce about 30% to 40% less CO than the TSF. Previous research$^4$ reports the BDS producing 50% to 65% less CO than the TSF. This difference is likely due to the difference in reported thermal efficiencies and is discussed further in the following paragraph. The BSS shows additional improvements, producing about 70% less CO per test than the TSF.

Although the addition of air injection (deployed in BUS and BSS) reduced both PM$_{2.5}$ and CO mass emissions per energy delivered to the pot, it did not significantly improve thermal efficiency or time to boil relative to the BDS. The BUS took approximately 4 minutes longer to boil water than the BDS, while the BSS boiled water in about the same amount of time as the BDS. Similarly, the BUS shows about a 15% decrease in thermal efficiency compared to the
BDS and BSS. Reported thermal efficiency for the BDS from Jetter et al. (2012), 37.4%, agrees well with the findings in this study, 34%. It should be noted that thermal efficiency for our well-tended TSF is about 23%, far above the 15% thermal efficiency reported by other researchers. This difference in performance is likely due to the difference in pot material and shape used to conduct the TSF tests. We also recognize that the performance of a TSF is also highly dependent on the stove operator.

The BUS and BSS show improvements in modified combustion efficiency (MCE) compared to the TSF and BDS. The TSF and the BUS have an MCE around 96%, while the BUS and BSS have an MCE of almost 97% and 99%, respectively. These results agree well with previous research conducted on similar stoves.

The stove performance results indicate that the addition of air injection can reduce PM$_{2.5}$ and CO mass emissions per energy delivered to the pot for a high-power test, but an overall design optimization is required to simultaneously increase stove thermal efficiency and reduce time to boil. Although the BUS reduces PM$_{2.5}$ mass emissions, the umbrella limits emissions reductions by quenching the flames and acting as a radiation shield that reduces heat transfer to the pot. The BSS, however, does not obstruct heat transfer to the pot, closely matching the thermal efficiency and time to boil of the BDS, while reducing PM$_{2.5}$ mass emissions by 66% per high-power test. For all performance metrics, the BUS and BSS outperform the TSF.
Table 1. Calculated high-power test performance metrics of tested stoves using methods described for the cold start phase of the Water Boiling Test 4.3.2.\textsuperscript{19} Values represent the mean of \( n \) tests with +/- indicating 95% confidence intervals, assuming Student’s t-distribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stove Type</th>
<th>TSF ((n=10))</th>
<th>BDS ((n=10))</th>
<th>BUS ((n=10))</th>
<th>BSS ((n=4))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Time to Boil [min]</td>
<td>32 ± 4</td>
<td>18 ± 1</td>
<td>21 ± 1</td>
<td>18 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firepower [W]</td>
<td>5294 ± 578</td>
<td>5200 ± 270</td>
<td>5390 ± 367</td>
<td>5203 ± 438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal Efficiency [%]</td>
<td>23 ± 2</td>
<td>34 ± 1</td>
<td>29 ± 1</td>
<td>34 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Combustion Efficiency [%]</td>
<td>96.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>95.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>96.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>98.6 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO Emissions ([g/MJ_{\text{delivered}}])</td>
<td>10 ± 2</td>
<td>7 ± 1</td>
<td>6 ± 1</td>
<td>3 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM(<em>{2.5}) Emissions ([mg/MJ</em>{\text{delivered}}])</td>
<td>692 ± 155</td>
<td>738 ± 85</td>
<td>455 ± 66</td>
<td>230 ± 52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fine and Ultrafine Particle Emissions.** The total number of ultrafine particles (measured by the FMPS) generated by each stove over the entire high-power test and normalized by equivalent dry fuel consumed (as calculated in the WBT 4.3.2\textsuperscript{19}) is shown in Figures 4(A) and 4(B). The particle distribution for the BUS and BSS is bimodal, with the smaller diameter mode around 10 nm. This mode may be associated with the nucleation of volatile particles in flaming combustion, as the partially oxidized gas-phase fuel in the flame zone is cooled by the injected air.\textsuperscript{24,25} The larger diameter mode is a result of aggregation\textsuperscript{24,25} that occurs around 19 nm for the BSS, and around 29 nm for the BUS. The peak concentration for the BDS occurs around 34 nm, agreeing well with previous research.\textsuperscript{6} In addition, the BDS demonstrates a secondary peak at 100 nm, which could be due to the aggregation of larger smoldering particles. The TSF has a peak.
concentration around 40 nm that could be attributed to larger organic particles generation from smoldering.

Both the BUS and the BSS generate more ultrafine particles than the BDS for particles smaller than approximately 60 nm. The BUS and BSS also generate more ultrafine particles than the TSF for particles smaller than approximately 20 nm. For particle sizes around 10 nm, the BUS and BSS generate about 2.2 and 1.5 times more particles, respectively, than the BDS per kilogram of dry fuel for the high-power test. These results indicate that the addition of air injection may increase the total number of ultrafine particles compared to the same stove without air injection. Additionally, the stove designs with air injection generate more ultrafine particles smaller than 20 nm than the TSF per kilogram of dry fuel.

For particles larger than 300 nm, shown in Figure 4, the TSF and BDS generate more particles per kilogram of dry fuel than the BUS and the BSS. It should be noted that none of the stoves produced enough particles near the 10000 nm range for the OPS to measure accurately.
Figure 4. Fine and ultrafine particle concentration per kilogram of dry fuel consumed during the high-power test (cold start phase of the Water Boiling Test 4.3.219) measured by the FMPS (A and B) and the OPS (C and D) plotted on a normal-log scale (left) and a log-log scale (right). Shaded regions represent 95% confidence bounds for each size bin.

Figure 5 shows the average particle generation rates from the TSF, BDS, BUS, and BSS, during the first 15 minutes of the high-power test. The particles are grouped into three size ranges: 5-100 nm, 100-1000 nm, and 1000-2500 nm. Figure 5 shows that almost all of the fine particles between 1000 and 2500 nm are generated during the first three minutes after ignition, when both the wood and the stove are cold. After ignition, the cold conditions reduce the flame
temperature resulting in incomplete combustion and greater escape of volatile gases from the flame zone owing to slower oxidation kinetics. These gases readily nucleate and grow, increasing the production of larger particles.\(^{26}\) As the stove and the wood temperatures increase, after about 3 minutes, the generation of particles larger than 1000 nm rapidly decreases, due to combustion of more volatile gases and reduced nucleation, while particles smaller than 100 nm steadily increase until the steady state at approximately 7 minutes.

For the TSF, the emissions rate of particles ranging from 100 to 1000 nm steadily increases for the duration of the test. Particle emission rate for the BDS increases for the first 7 minutes and then levels off for the remainder of the test. Particles in the same range decrease after 5 minutes of operation for the BSS and after 10 minutes of operation for the BUS. After ignition, the manifolds in both the BUS and the BSS are at room temperature, and initially inject room temperature air into the firebox. The injection of cool air, relative to the fire temperature, effectively quenches the combustion process and drives the growth of accumulation mode particles,\(^ {26}\) peaking at roughly 3 and 8 minutes of operation for the BSS and BUS, respectively. However, once the manifolds reach steady-state temperatures, the air injected is heated and enables complete combustion of volatile gases, thus decreasing particle generation in this size range. The additional time required to decrease the particle generation rate for the BUS is likely due to the additional energy required to heat the umbrella manifold, which lowers the temperature in the firebox and quenches the flame. The BSS reduces particles in this range earlier than both the BUS and the BDS because it is fitted with a copper coil under the grate to increase heat transfer to the airflow prior to injection and has insignificant thermal mass in the firebox. After the stoves reach a steady operating temperature, the BDS and the TSF generate more particles than the BUS and BSS, with the BSS generating the least.
The particle range with the largest generation rate is 5 to 100 nm. In this range, the particle generation rate is similar for all four stoves when operated at approximately the same fuel-burning rate. However, it is important to note that some stoves deliver the same cooking power (useful energy delivered per time) at lower fuel-burning rates, leading to lower total particle number emissions in the same size range. After the first 5 minutes of operation, when the stoves reach a steady operating temperature, the generation rate of the TSF is comparable to the BUS and the BSS, while the BDS generates the least amount of particles in this range. A possible explanation for the elevated BUS and BSS generation rates is that the air injection aids in creating an environment where fewer accumulation mode particles exist, producing less surface area for condensation and growth. Figure 4 supports this theory as the peak emission factors occur for particles with a geometric mean diameter less than 20 nm. The TSF, however, exhibits higher emission factors for particles with a geometric mean diameter around 40 nm, which is possibly due to larger organics from smoldering.

**Figure 5.** Average particle generation rate from the first 15 minutes of testing the TSF, BDS, BUS, and BSS. Particle sizes are divided into ranges from 5 to 100 nm, 100 to 1000 nm, and 1000 to 2500 nm. During one of the BDS experiments, a piece of wood added during the first 2 minutes of operation contained sap, thus generating a spike in the 1000 to 2500 nm range.
The results from this study show that air injection may increase the generation of particles smaller than 50 nm in diameter. The resulting shift in geometric mean diameter of particles toward the ultrafine particles may adversely impact health since total deposition of these ultrafine particles would increase in all three primary lung regions.\textsuperscript{14-18} Deposition of particles smaller than 10 nm would increase in the nasal and bronchial regions. In the alveolar region, deposition of particles between 10 and 50 nm would increase because these particles lack the diffusivity to deposit higher in the respiratory tract.\textsuperscript{27} This is especially concerning for the BSS, which emits significantly more particles in this size range than the other stoves.

This research investigated the performance and emissions of two wood burning air-injection cookstoves designed by LBNL. The results show that air injection can reduce PM\textsubscript{2.5} mass emissions per energy delivered to the pot but may generate more ultra fine particles between 5 and 50 nm during a high-power test. The most efficient air-injection stove produced the least PM\textsubscript{2.5} mass but generated more ultra fine particles smaller than 30 nm than the other two engineered stoves (BDS and BUS) and the TSF. As more air-injection stoves are disseminated to the field, additional research is required to ensure the new and improved stove designs not only improve boiling time and thermal efficiency, but also protect health by reducing fine and ultrafine particle mass and number concentrations. Reductions in particulate mass and number concentrations could be achieved by (1) limiting the thermal mass of the manifold, (2) allowing for greater preheating of injected air, (3) increasing turbulent mixing inside the combustion zone, and (4) increasing the residence time of the exhaust gases in the combustion zone. However, additional research is needed to better understand the effects of each of these modifications on different stove types (e.g. charcoal, pellet).
Presently, there are no United States Environmental Protection Agency regulations, World Health Organization guidelines, or International Organization for Standardization/International Workshop Agreement standards in place for regulating ultrafine particle number concentrations. However, the European Union vehicle emissions legislation regulates and limits both particle mass and particle number.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional information on the stove designs, experimental setup, and results are available in the Supporting Information. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org/.
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ABBREVIATIONS

BDS, Berkeley-Darfur Stove; BSS, Berkeley Shower Stove; BUS, Berkeley Umbrella Stove; CAI, California Analytical Instruments; CO₂, Carbon Dioxide; CO, Carbon Monoxide; LBNL, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory; MCE, Modified Combustion Efficiency; NIOSH, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health; PM, Particulate Matter; PM_{2.5}, Particulate
Matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to 2.5 µm; ppmv, Parts per Million by Volume; TSF, Three Stone Fire.
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